

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION N
10/666,437	09/22/2003	Thomas J. Kennedy III	P-4277-2-1-1 (SLDZ 2	8315
24492 7	590 11/02/2004		EXA	MINER
THE TOP-FLITE GOLF COMPANY, A WHOLLY OWNED			GORDON, RAEANN	
SUBSIDIARY OF CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY P.O. BOX 901 425 MEADOW STREET CHICOPEE, MA 01021-0901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2	004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		KENNEDY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/666,437	Art Unit				
Office Action Gammary	Examiner	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Raeann Gorden					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	, audit appears on the vover enect					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions o after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of to utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimal by statute cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on 12 August 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for	the few allowers a sycont for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5-8,10 an 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,9 and 11-14 is/are rejection claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict the complication Papers.	<u>d 15-41</u> is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected or b objected or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abe the correction is required if the draw	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-30-04; 7-26-04.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 11-14 in the reply filed on 8-12-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims can be searched at the same time. This is not found persuasive because simply stating the species can be searched at the same time is not sufficient. Applicant further requested claims 3, 15, and 16 be considered. Claim 3 will be examined, however, claims 15 and 16 will not be examined because they claim two separate embodiments.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Keller et al (5,770,325). Regarding claims 1, 3, and 4, Keller discloses a dimpled golf ball with indicia made from ink. The ink jet printer and transfer medium are method steps and do not appear to further limit the final golf ball (see MPEP 2113).

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 9, the golf ball includes a topcoat (col. 4). Regarding claim 11, the indicia may be applied on a primer, which is placed on the cover (col. 5, lines 1-12). Regarding claim 12, primer coating inherently promotes adhesion. Regarding claim 14, the ink is a UV curable ink (abstract). The ink is inherently cured once applied to the golf ball.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller in view of Hatch et al (5,817,735). Keller discloses the invention as shown above but does not disclose a primer comprising magnesium silicate. However, Hatch discloses a primer for a golf ball comprising magnesium silicate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have included a magnesium silicate in the primer to enhance the color of the ball thereby producing better clarity of the indicia (col. 6, lines 5-12).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatch et al (5,817,735) in view of Mello et al (5,778,793). Regarding claims 1, 3, and 4, Hatch discloses a primer for a golf ball. The golf ball includes ink indicia stamped or painted on the surface. The ink jet printer and transfer medium are method steps and do not appear to further limit the final golf ball (see MPEP 2113).

Art Unit: 3711

Hatch does not disclose a dimpled golf ball. However, Mello teaches a dimpled golf ball comprising indicia on the surface. Regarding claim 9, Hatch discloses the golf ball includes a topcoat (col. 6, lines 45-50). Regarding claims 11 and 12, Hatch discloses the golf ball includes a primer coat (col. 6, lines 45-50). Primer is well known for promoting adhesion. Regarding claim 13, Hatch discloses the primer includes magnesium silicate (col. 6, lines 5-12). Regarding claim 14, Mello teaches UV curable ink for indicia. One of ordinary skill in the art would have modified Hatch in view of Mello by implementing a UV curable ink to decrease the curing time.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg October 28, 2004

> AAEANN GORDEN RAEANN EXAMINER